UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

-Plaintiffs,

Civil Action No. (; 06 CIV 0263 (GLS)

-against-

ORDER

NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS, TODD D. VALENTINE and STANLEY L. ZALEN, Co-Executive Directors of the New York State Board of Elections, in their official capacities; and STATE OF NEW YORK,

-Defendants.

On June 3, 2009, the parties filed a joint letter request asking the Court to approve a revision to the voting systems compliance schedule set forth in this Court's January 16, 2008 Supplemental Remedial Order.

The parties' joint request seeks Court approval of a May 12, 2009 proposal by the New York State Board of Elections (SBOE): 1) to implement a pilot program utilizing optical scan voting systems for the fall 2009 state and local elections; and 2) to extend the remedial timeline to provide for full HAVA-compliant voting systems implementation throughout the State of New York in time for the fall 2010 federal primary and general elections. The details of this SBOE proposal are set forth in the three Attachments to the parties' joint request; namely, a narrative description of the SBOE proposal (Attachment A), a revised remedial timeline (Attachment B), and a list of counties participating in the pilot program (Attachment C). The Court has carefully considered the parties' joint filing and attachments in this matter, and finds that the parties' request is well-taken.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that:

- 1) The parties' instant request for a revision of the compliance schedule in this litigation, as set forth in the parties' joint June 3, 2009 letter and the attachments thereto, is GRANTED, and defendants are ORDERED to proceed forthwith to implement the May 12, 2009 proposal of the SBOE;
- 2) Except to the extent superseded by the provisions of the SBOE's May 12, 2009 proposal, all provisions of this Court's June 2, 2006 Remedial Order and January 16, 2008 Supplemental Remedial Order shall remain in effect;
- 3) The Court continues to retain jurisdiction in this litigation to deal with all remedial matters and to enforce this Order and all of its previous Orders.

ENTERED this 4 day of June, 2009 at Albany, New York.

GARY L. SHARPA

The United States has reviewed the attached NYSBOE proposal and has indicated to the Defendants that, while it takes no position on the statements of the Board contained in the Introduction and Conclusion of the Narrative document (Attachment A), it is in agreement with the substance of the remedial plan and the timeline as proposed. Accordingly, the United States and the Defendants will jointly request that the Court approve the Board proposal. The parties believe that the requested revision is in the best interests of achieving the remedial goals in this litigation in a fair and efficient manner. We have attached a proposed Order to this letter should the Court approve our request.

The parties are available to discuss this request with the Court if the Court so desires. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

s/	s/
Kimberly A. Galvin	Brian F. Heffernen
Bar Roll No. 505011	Bar Roll No. 513721
s/	s/
Paul M. Collins	Jeffrey M. Dvorin
Bar Roll No. 101384	Bar Roll No. 101559

Attachment A

INTRODUCTION

The State of New York remains fully committed to full compliance with Section 301 of HAVA. New York is equally committed to ensure that we replace a working voting system with one that will be in full compliance with federal VVSG standards. In our commitment to fully replace lever machines in New York, we seek voting systems that are better than our existing system, and that do not deprive New Yorkers of their constitutional rights as voters.

It is critical to the New York State Board of Elections (SBOE) that any voting system intended for use in New York State be rigorously and thoroughly tested to ensure compliance with federal VVSG standards. It is projected that the current certification process will be concluded in December of 2009 (a copy of the timeline is attached). As that current certification process continues, it is critical that elections that take place in this State utilize secure and accurate voting equipment.

After a great deal of internal discussion and consultation with county boards of elections, the SBOE has concluded that there is a great deal of value that can be gained from the experience of a secure and controlled pilot program.

PROPOSED PLAN FOR A 2009 PILOT PROGRAM

This document sets forth a proposal by the State Board of Elections to the Department of Justice regarding the deployment of optical scan voting systems (OpScan) for the September 2009 primary and general elections. It is the intention of the State Board of Elections to allow Op Scan devices to be deployed and utilized as widely as they can be based upon the local boards of elections' capability to train on and use them effectively.

In addition, this document clarifies the schedule committed to for full machine deployment in 2010. The SBOE will immediately notify the Department of Justice should there be any deviation from any part of this proposed plan.

PRE ELECTION FUNCTIONAL TESTING AND ACCEPTANCE TESTING

The State Board will conduct functional testing of the vendor units prior to their deployment. This functional testing will validate the logic and accuracy of the systems, which will ultimately allow for less restrictive post-election procedures to be implemented. This will also be accomplished through testing by SysTest as part of the formal certification process.

In addition, the State Board will perform acceptance testing on all new voting equipment prior to its use. To this end, the State Board will create an enhanced acceptance testing procedure for all newly-delivered devices. Any new Sequoia/Dominion units that are to be deployed and all of the ES&S scanners will be required to go through this enhanced acceptance testing procedure prior to being dispatched to the counties for use. The enhanced acceptance testing will consist of a process developed by SBOE staff and NYSTEC and will be conducted at a central location in

Albany. The staffs are currently in the process of developing these procedures. The acceptance testing procedures have been finalized.

Document 299

The counties have requested that the tabulation function of the voting systems be activated as soon as possible so that they may begin training on the "actual systems" that the poll workers will see on Election Day. The vendors have started to enable these tabulating functions or provide counties with scanners for testing purposes. Both vendors assured the State Board that they will finish the provision of "working machines" by May 30, 2009. This will give the counties adequate time to train their personnel.

UPGRADING OF MACHINES CURRENTLY IN THE CUSTODY OF THE COUNTIES

The Board must ensure that all units that are going to be used in this pilot program are loaded with identical software versions. After lengthy discussions with the Sequoia/D ominion vendor it has been tentatively agreed that this upgrade would be most efficiently done at a central location. The vendor has agreed to go to the counties involved in the pilot program, box up their voting systems and ship them to the central location. At that location, the upgrade would be made to the voting system by the vendor. The vendor will then box up the voting system again and send the machine back to the county of origin. At that point, the county board will perform receipt process tasks as outlined in the procedure manual, making the units ready for use. All of this will be done at no cost to the counties.

It has been determined that this process will take place in two phases. The first phase will consist of those machines that will be utilized in the pilot program and a finite number of spare machines. This first phase of the updating process for the pilot voting systems will be completed by July 15, 2009 which is a sufficient time frame to allow the counties to run pre-election tests that are necessary to confirm readiness for the September primary election. Phase two of this process will be upgrading the balance of the Sequoia/Dominion machines currently deployed throughout the State. This will be completed no later than July 30, 2009.

The Board also has had similar discussions with ES&S. While this vendor has a significantly smaller number of counties it has different logistical issues in that the scanners that will be utilized have not been tested at all and are currently not in the possession of the counties. ES&S has assured the State Board that they can meet the same deadlines as outlined above for Sequoia/Dominion and that we will have all of the scanners that are needed for the pilot counties in possession of those counties by July 15, 2009.

POST ELECTION VERIFICATION

The Board will also provide for a Post Election Audit System to verify the election results. While these processes are still being discussed by the SBOE staff and the Commissioners, it is anticipated that the counties' required audit requirements will be as follows:

Case 1:06-cv-00263-GLS

- Full compliance with the audit provisions contained in Election Law §9-211 and the audit provisions contained in the proposed regulation 6210.18.
- Conduct a 100% hand audit of all ballots in election districts when the difference in the result of the election between the potential winning and losing candidates in any contested election on the ballots is 1% or less AND,
- Randomly select 3 % from all the devices used in each county, or, borough within NYC, and hand audit all of the ballots tabulated by that device. If the outcome does not match the canvass report, then a 100% hand audit is to be conducted.

PARAMETERS OF THE PILOT PROGRAM

As previously indicated, it is the SBOE's intention to encourage and allow Op Scan devices to be deployed, in the pilot program, as widely throughout the State as they can be, based upon the availability from the manufacturer and capability of each local board of elections to use them.

In keeping with this intention, in SBOE has required the local boards to identify to the State Board both their intention to participate and in what portion of their county it will be using the OpScan voting system. In addition to any other requirements that are developed, the SBOE is requiring that:

- 5 The pilot area is comprised of distinct polling places so that all of the voters within that polling place cast their vote on the same voting system. This will ensure that training and outreach efforts are consistent and focused.
 - If the poll site serves multiple election districts, the all of the voters in that poll site will be included in the pilot.
- \$ In a pilot district all voters will be voting on scanners or BMDs. No lever machines will be deployed in the pilot districts.

PARTICIPATION IN THE PILOT PROGRAM

SBOE has been clear that participation is expected in <u>both</u> the primary and the general elections of 2009. In addition, SBOE has clearly stated that an *increased* participation from the primary election to the general election is encouraged and will be authorized.

A chart setting forth the various counties' level of participation in the pilot is attached. In addition, the DOJ has been previously provided with the individual county participation documents provided by the counties to SBOE.

Page 8 of 20

(6/3/2009) PAUL COLLINS - DOJ final pilot plan narrative with 5-12 edits voted on by Board pdf

Page 4

Projection for Full Machine Deployment

As set forth in the attached timeline, the certification testing process will be completed by mid-December of 2009. The conclusion of the SBOE certification project includes the completion of testing by SysTest, any reviews required by NYSTEC, the issuance of testing reports, and a vote on certification by the Board at a meeting to be held no later than December 15, 2009.

All of the machines needed by the counties for a full 2010 deployment will be in the possession of the counties by March 15, 2010. By way of explanation, prior to full certification in December of 2009, SBOE is projecting that the vast majority of new machines will be ordered by the counties by May 30, 2009. Upon final contract "sign-off" by the NYS Comptroller's office (which we are expecting will occur on or before May 29, 2009), these requisitions will be converted almost immediately to actual purchase orders prior to the actual certification of the voting systems. Both vendors have indicated that they will only rely on purchase orders for building and shipping purposes. In furtherance of this process moving as quickly as possible, Board staff have had numerous conversations with the staff at OGS and with the vendors. SBOE has urgently and repeatedly stressed to all involved that everything and anything that can be done to move this process forward, should be undertaken. In addition, Board staff drafted a resolution that was taken up and voted on at our May 12, 2009 Board meeting, by which the Board awarded the required interim authorization for use of these systems.

Assuming final sign-off by OSC by May 29, 2009, it is anticipated that full acceptance testing of the new Sequoia devices can begin August 10, 2009 and will conclude no later than December 23, 2009. Should Sequoia/Dominion be chosen as the vendor for NYC, they have committed to SBOE that they can build enough machines in a timely fashion so that they will be acceptance tested and delivered to NYC no later than December 31, 2009.

ES&S staff has indicated to SBOE that they currently have enough units to fully deploy units for this conversion in all four of their counties outside of NYC. Acceptance testing can thus begin within 2 weeks of having the purchase orders issued. As such, it is anticipated that the ES&S machines for those counties outside of NYC will be acceptance -tested and deployed no later than July 30, 2009. Should ES&S be chosen as the vendor for NYC, they have committed to SBOE that they can build enough machines in a timely fashion so that they will be acceptance tested and delivered to NYC no later than November 30, 2009.

CONCLUSION

In summary, while the SBOE remains strongly committed to the full certification process, we believe that the Pilot program as outlined above will provide both the State and the counties with an invaluable learning tool as we finalize certification and full deployment in 2010. In addition, SBOE is committed to full certification and delivery as indicated in this document and the accompanying documents.

Attachment B

		Pilot Project	Countywide (CW), City/Town (C/T),	Pilot Election	Pilot	Pilot Registered Voters
County Name	Code	(Yes or No)	or Polt Site (PS)	Districts	Poll Sites	
Albany	1	Yes	C/T (3)	25	15	20,136
Viegany	2	Yes	C/T (TOWN-1)	2	1	1,200
Broome	4	Yes	CW	190	123	118,000
Cattaraugus	5	Yes	C/T - (1)	7	3	4,56
Cayuga	6	Yes	CW	61	29	46,941 82,00
Chautauqua	7	Yes	CW	124	60	5,29
Chemung	8	Yes	C/T (Town-1)	8	4 20	30.85
Chenango	9	Yes	CW	42	28	30.65
Clinton	10	No		0	0	
Columbia	11	No		0	0	30,13
Cortland	12	Yes	CW	42	28	29,25
Delaware	13	Yes	CW	59	28	1,43
Dutchess	14	Yes	PS	4	2	1,43
Erie	15	No		<u>.</u>		-
Essex	16	No		0	0	26.00
Franklin	17	Yes	CW	49	31	26,00
Fulton	18	Yes	C/T (1 city/1 town)	8	8	9,14
Genesee	19	Yes	C/T (1)	1	1	1,04
Greene	20	Yes	C/T (TOWN-2)	2	2	
Hamilton	21	Yes	CW	11	11	4,69
Herkimer	22	Yes	C/T	3	1 1	
Jefferson	23	Yes	CW	91	50	59,05
Lewis	25	Yes	CW	31	19	17.46
Livingston	26	Yes	C/T (2)	4	3	2,73
Madison	27	Yes	CW	55	33	40,63
Monroe	28	Yes	C/T (2)	11	6	6,38
Montgomery	29	Yes	C/T (1)	1	11	1,06
Nassau	30	No		Ó	0	6,91
Niagara	32	Yes	C/T (2)	9	5	3.86
Oneida	33	Yes	C/T (1)	4	4	
Onondaga	34	Yes	C/T (TOWNS- 3)	29	10	20,50
Ontario	35	No		0	0	
Orange	36	Yes	C/T (2)	9	2	6.15
Orleans	37	Yes	C/T TOWN-1	6	2	2,7
Oswego	38	Yes	CW	124	56	73,00
Otsego	39	Yes	C/T (7)	14	9	5,34
Putnam	40	Yes	CW	86	22	59,2
Rensselaer	42	No		0	0	
Rockland	44	No		0	0	10.5
Saratoga	45	Yes	C/T (6)	14	7	10,5
Schenectady	46	Yes	C/T (2)	10	3	6,9
Schoharie	47	Yes	PS	3	1 1	1,9
Schuyler	48	Yes	CW	17	16	
Seneca	49	Yes	CW	27	19	20,1
St.Lawrence	50	Yes	CW	102	65	60.3
Steuben	51	Yes	CW	85	60	60,0
Suffolk	52	No	<u> </u>	0	0	2.2
Sullivan	53	Yes	PS	3	2	
Tioga	54	Yes	C/T (TOWN-2)	4	2	2,9
Tompkins	55	Yes	C/T (CITY -1)	19	10	11,6
Ulster	56	Yes	PS	5	2	2,8
Warren	57	No		0	0	
Washington	58	No		0	0	ļ
Wayne	59	Yes	P\$	1	1	7
Westchester	60	No		0	0	+
Wyoming	61	Yes	C/T (TOWN-1)	5	1 1	3,2
Yates	62	Yes	CW	20	13	14,6
Bronx	63a			0	0	
Kings	63b			0	0	
New York	63c			Q	0	
Queens	63d			Ö	D	
Richmond	63e	No		0	0	_ <u></u>
Total	63f	1	1	1427	799	929.7

Note: Version as of : Final

Attachment C

Т.	——-n	Task Name		Duration	Start	Finish Prede	CE .
- 10	0			6 days	Wed 3/18/08	Wed 3/25/99	7
. [Vendor Requests		f day	Wed 3/16/09	Wed 3/18/09	l control of the cont
	9	Lastest Softwee a	ind Filmwere	1 day	Wed 3/18/09	Wed 3/18/09	
	i.	Latest Hardware		1 day	Wed 3/16/09	Wed 3/18/09	
	7	Vendor Readiness		1 day	Wed 3/18/09	Wed 3/18/09	i
	1		s of latest software	1 day	Wed 3/18/09	Wed 3/18/09	
	#	Updated TDP and		1 day	Wed 3/18/09	Wed 3/18/09	
	=	Updated Discreps	· ·	1 day	Wed 3/25/09	Wed 3/25/09	
ا[गत	In Net Vendor Res	pgn64	3 days	Wed 4/6/89	Fri 4/10/09 \$	j i
		Discrepancy Fixes		3 days	Wed 4/6/09	Fri 4/18/09	1
\Box		2848	- Pol bish	3 days	Wed 4/8/09	Fri 4/10/09	ľ
			est Discrepancy Lists	3 days	Wed 4/6/09	Fei 4/10/09	
	纽		Documentation	3 days	Wed 4/6/09	Fri 4/10/09	
		Dominion		3 days	Wed 4/8/09	Fn 4/10/09	
	=	1 -7 -7	fest Discrepancy Liebs	3 days	Wed 4/8/09	Fri 4/10/09	
	E 5.	1 -	Documentation	5 devs	Wed 3/25/09	Tue 3/31/09	
	எ	Requirements Metrix (6 days	Fri 3/20/09	Fri 3/27/09	
		Development of Rem		5 days	Mon 3/23/09	Fri 3/27/09	
	彦		/SA Setup Test Case	B clays	Fri 3/20/09	Fri 3/27/09	
			Accuracy Test Case	6 days	Fri 3/20/09	Fri 3/27/08	
7			Volume & Stress Test Case	9 days	Thu 3/19/09	Tue 3/31/09	
		1	Approval of Remaining Test Cases	2 days	Thu 3/19/09	Fri 3/20/09	
2	靊	Modifications are	i nssubmittal Vendor Readiness Test Case	2 0074			
5		Modifications and	d resubvolital VSA Setup Test Case	2 days	Mon 3/30/09	Tue 3/31/09 18	!
•	1	Modifications an	d resubmittal Accuracy Test Case	2 days	Mon 3/30/09	Tue 3/31/09 19	1
5			d resubmittal Volume & Stress Test Case	2 days	Mon 3/30/09	Tue 3/31/09 20	
6		Gap Analysis of Tee	it Cases and Final TDP Document Review	72.5 days?	Wed 4/1/09	Thu 7/9/09	
_	<u> </u>		ue to RFI and Clarifications	2 days	Wed 4/1/09	Thu 4/2/09	
7	靊	ESES		17 days	Wed 4/1/09	Thu 4/23/09 8	
			Task	Milesione	•	External Ta	sks Comments
	Sector	t Project Plan 4-8-09 t		Summary		External Nº	lestone 🔷
be: Y	Ved 5/6/	09	Spill	Project Summa	uy 🕶	Deadline	₽

FΤ					Duration	Start	Finish	Predect		
_	0	Task Name					Wed 4/8/09			
	Ĭ.	Discrepancy L	Ipdates		1 day	Wed 4/8/09	Tue 4/7/09		•	
-		Gan01			5 days	Wed 4/1/09	Non 4/6/09	.		
_		Gen02			4 days	Wed 4/1/09	Wed 4/1/09			
		Gen03			1 day	Wed 4/1/09	Thu 4/9/09	أمة		
3		PriOf			2 days	Wed 4/8/09	Thu 4/9/09			
4		Pri02		:	3 days	Tue 4/7/09	Tue 4/7/09			
5		Telecom			5 days	Wed 4/1/09	Thu 4/9/09	26	•	
6	57	Crypto			2 days	Wed 4/8/09	Thu 4/23/09			
17		Gensec			10 days	Fn 4/10/09	Wed 4/15/09	~		
 MB		Hardware			3 days	Mon 4/13/09				
~ 10	-	Dominion			72.5 days?	Wed 4/1/09	Thu 7/9/09			
41	-	Discrepancy	Updates		1 day	Thu 4/9/09	Thu 4/9/09	- 1		
42	E	Gen01		**	4 days	Wed 4/1/09	Mon 4/6/09			
43	- E3	Gen02			5 days	Wed 4/1/09	Tue 4/7/09	l l		
44	E	Gen03			3 days	Wed 4/1/09	Fri 4/3/09			
45		PriOT			4 days	Yue 4/7/09	Fn 4/10/09			
+6	- 6	Pri02			1 day	Wed 4/6/09	Wed 4/M08			
47		Telecora		•	5 days	Wed 4/1/09	Tue 4/7/09			
48	- 🗒	Crypto			2 days	Wed 4/8/09	Thu 4/9/06			
49	- FE	Genser		# · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10 days	Fri 4/10/09	Thu 4/23/05			
50	距	Hardware			3 days	Mon 4/13/09	Wed 4/16/05			
52 52	-[23	Vendor Delivery of F	inal TDP Docume	nts & Source Code	1 day	Fri 5/1/00	Frt 8/1/04	'		
··	İ				1 day	Fri 6/1/09	FR 5/1/0	•		
53	■		DP Documents an		1 day	Fri 5/1/09	Fri 5/1/0	.		
54		4	n YDP Documents	Must Somite cons	15 days	Frt 4/17/09	Thu 6/7/01	•		
55	7	New Vendor Equipm	ent and Delivery		4 days	Fri 4/17/09	Wed 4/22/0			
56		ESAS		i. Turneles	1 day	Fri 4/17/09	Fri 4/17/0	•		
57	副		w equipment - upd		1 day	Mon 4/20/09	Mon 4/20/0			
58			Dellas - New Hardy		2 days	Tue 4/21/09	Wed 4/22/0			
59	1	Test Room	Setup With New E	Substant .						
_			Task		Milestone	♦	Exta	mai Taeka		
منسر	et SvaTa	st Project Plan 4-8-09 t	Sofi		Summary		Exte	mai Milestoni	• 🔷	
hate	West 5/6	VO9		110111111111111111111111111111111111111	Project Summary		Dead	itne	₽	
			Progress	SysTent Project Plan 4-8-						

Page 3

(6/3/2009) PAUL COLLINS - DOJ proposed merged pilot timeline.pdf

RAFT					DRAFT					ĐŘ
D -	0	Task Name			Duration	Stert	Finish	Predeta		
Ó -	ă	Ship back un	necessary equipmen	t	1 day	Fri 4/17/09	Fri 4/17/09			
1	۳,	Dominion			6 clays	Fri 6/1/09	Thu 5/7/08	1		
2	脚	Check-in new	v equipment - update	Traveler	1 day	Fri 5/1/09	Fri 5/1/00			
53	۳.	Determine D	eitas - New Hardwar	e Test?	1 day	Mon 5/4/09	Mon 5/4/09			
4		Test Room S	elup With New Equi	pment	2 days	Tue 5/5/09	Wed 5/6/09			
36		Ship back un	necessary equipmen	nt	1 day	Thu 6/7/09	Thu 5/7/09	54		
56	138	Seurce Code Review	(Need Deltas)		86.5 days	Mon 3/23/99	Mon 7/29/09			
67		Gep Analysis for I			1 day	Mon 3/23/09	Mon 3/23/09			
58	۳,		s for RFI Response		1 day	Tue 3/24/09	Tue 3/24/09	67		
8			e to include all inputs	from Yest Cases	4 days	Wed 4/1/09	Mon 4/6/09	· }		
70	-	Perform Trusted	Suilds for Test Val	idation	2.5 days	Mon 5/4/09	Wed 5/6/09	62		
71	-}	ESA8			2.5 days	Mon 5/4/09	Wed 5/6/09	i		
72	-	Dominion			2.5 days	Mon 5/4/09	Wed 5/6/09			
73	4	FEAR		•	63 days	Wed 5/5/00	Fd 7/17/04	70		
74	100		n Discrepancies		1 day	Wed 5/6/09	Thu 5/7/06	i '		
76			e to Clerifications fro	m RFTs	10 days	Thu 5/7/09	Thu 5/21/06	74		
76		•	e to new functionality		42 days	Thu 5/21/09	Fd 7717/06	75		
77			on Paresoft and Fort		9 days	Wed 5/6/09	Tue 5/19/09	·]		
78	- 53	Hash-Check		•	1 day	Fri 7/17/09	Mon 7/26/01	·		
79	4	Perform Hes	ıh-Check		1 day	Fr 7/17/09	Mon 7/20/09	73		
50	4	Dominion			53 days	Wed E/E/06	Fri 7/17/05	70		
81	-		m Discrepancies		1 day	Wed 5/6/09	Thu 5/7/00	•	•	
82			e to Clarifications fro	m RFI's	10 days	Thu 5/7/09	Thu 5/21/09	B1		
62 63	4		e to new functionality		42 days	Thu 5/21/09	Fri 7/17/09) f6.2		
B4	٠.		on Parasoft and For		12 days	Wed 5/6/09	Fri \$/22/0	S		
#A	4	Hamb-Check	Att a proper dies to the		1 day	Fri 7/17/08	Mon 7/20/05	, 1		
85	_	Perform Han	ch_Charle		1 day	Fit 7/17/09	Mon 7/20/0	9 80 E		-
87	1	Deliverable 5 - Revision		a Packages (TDP)	112 days	Mon 7/20/09	Wed 12/23/0	•		
	*									
_			Yask		Milestone	•	Exter	nei Toeks		
rolec	t: SysTe Wed 5/6/	st Project Plan 4-8-09 }	Split		Summery	—	Exten	n al Mil ostone	•	
- T. C.	1460 DBV1	nua	Progress		Project Summary	-	Dend	line	ۍ	
				ys Test Project Plan 4-8-						

RAFT		DRAFT					DRAF
1D 0	Task Name	Duration	Start		Predect		
88	Develop Document Review Test Report For Imput Into Final	5 days	Wed 12/16/00	Wed 12/23/00	217,218		
	Test Report Davelop Source Code Review Test Report For Input Into Final	5 days	Mon 7/20/09	Mon 7/27/09	56		
89	Test Report						
90	frainit software on voting system	4 days	Wed 5/6/09	Tue 6/12/09	~		
91	ESAS	4 days	Wed 6/8/09	Tue 8/12/09	.		
92	OS and COTS with Hardening on EMS on all Servers	4 days	Wed 5/6/09	Tue 5/12/09	l		
93	Firmware on Polling Place Machines	1 day	Wed 5/6/09	The 5/7/09	[
94	Dominion	4 days	Wed 5/6/09	Tue 5/12/09	!		
95	OS and COTS with Hardening on EMS on all servers	4 days	Wed 5/5/09	Tue 5/12/09			
96	Firmware on Polling Place Machines	1 day	Wed 5/6/09	Thu 5/7/09			
97	Vendor Readiness Test Validation	5 days	Tue 5/12/09	Tue 5/19/09 :	96		
96	ES&S	5 days	Tun 5/12/09	Tue 5/19/09	i		
99	Dominion	5 days	Tue 5/12/09	Tue 5/19/09			
100	Test Procedure Validation	58 days	Tue 5/19/09	Thu SALOR	97,90		
	ESAS	56 days	Tuy 5/19/09	Thu 8/6/09	1		
101	Gen01	18 days	Tue 5/19/00	Thu 6/11/08	.		
103	Gen02	20 days	Thu 6/11/09	Thu 7/9/09	102		
	Gen03	18 days	Tue 5/19/09	Thu 8/11/09			
104	Pri01	15 days !	Thu 7/9/09	Thu 7/30/09	103		
51	· ·	20 days?	Thu 6/11/09	Thu 7/9/09	104		
107	Talecom	5 days	Tue 5/19/09	Tue 5/26/09			
	Crypto	10 days	Tue 5/19/09	Mon 8/1/09	.1		
108	Gensec	40 days	Mon 6/1/09	Mon 7/27/09	106		
	VSA Selup	35 days	Tue 5/19/09	Mon 7/8/09	1		
110	Accuracy	15 days	Mon 7/5/09	Mon 7/27/09	110		
111	Volume & Stress	20 days	Thu 7/9/09	Thu 8/6/0 9	51		
112	Hardware	5 days	Tue 5/19/09	Tue 5/25/09	ļ.		
113	Dominion .	58 days	Tue 6/19/09	Thu 8/6/05	1		
115	Gen01	18 days	Tue 5/19/09	Thu 6/11/09	1		
115	GEROT						
	Task	Milestone	•	Extern	al Tasks		
Project: Sy	ysTest Project Plan 4-8-09 Spik	Summery	-	Extern	el Milestane	• 🔷	
Date: Wed	9 5/6/09 Progress	Project Surama	ry .	Dead	ine	Φ	
	SysTest Project Plan 4-8					-	

RAFT				DRAFT					DR
ID _	Yask Name			Duration	Start	Finish	Predect		
18	Gen02			20 days	Thu 6/11/09	Thu 7/9/09	115		
177	Gen03			18 days	Tue 5/19/09	Thus 6/1 1/09	1		
· · ·	i Pri01			20 days	Thu 7/9/09	Thu 6/6/09	116		
116	PriO2			20 days	Thu 6/11/09	Thu 7/9/09	117		
119				5 days	Tue 5/19/09	Tue 5/26/09	7 7		
120	Telecom			10 days	Tue 6/19/09	Mon 6/1/09			
121	Crypto			40 days	Mon 6/1/09	Mon 7/27/09	121		
122	Gunsec			35 days	Tue 5/19/09	Mon 7/6/09	-		
123	VSA Setup			15 days	Mon 7/6/09	Mon 7/27/09	' 1		
124	Acouracy			20 days	Thu 7/9/09	Thu 8/6/09			
125	Volume & St	rest.		•	Tue 5/19/09	Tue 5/26/09			
126	Hardware			5 days	Thu 8/6/09	Fri 8/7/09			
127	NYSTEC Approval of I	Test Procedures		1 day	Thu \$45/00	Thu 11/5/06			
128	Run For Record			65 days		Thu 8/13/01			
129	Perform Witness	ed Trusted Bulkis		5 daya	Thu 8/6/09	Mon 8/16/09			
130	ESAS			2.5 days	Thu 6/6/09	Thu 8/13/06	1		
131	Dominion			2.5 days	Tue 8/11/08				
132	Preparations.			5 days	17hu 8/6/06	Thu 8/13/01			
133	Clear, casen,	and reconfigure ma	chines as required	3 days	Thu 8/6/09	Tue 8/11/09	' !		
134	Revistal Sol	kware using trusted I	builds	2 days	Tue 8/11/09	The #13/09	1		
135	E883	-		60 days	Thu 8/13/08	Thu 11/5/01			
136	Resdiness T	est		5 days	Thu 6/13/09	Thu 8/20/01	134		
137	Gen01			10 days	Thu 8/20/09	Thu 9/3/09	135		
138	Gen02			10 days	Thu 9/3/09	Thu 9/17/0	137		
139	Gen03		* '	20 days	The 8/20/09	Thu 9/17/0:	136		
140	Pri01			10 days	Thu 9/17/09	Thu 10/1/0	138		
	PriO2			10 days	Thu 9/17/09	Thu 10/1/0	139		
141	Telecom			5 days	Thu 6/20/09	Thu 6/27/0	136		
142				5 days	Thu 8/27/09	Thu 9/3/0	9 142		
143	Crypto			25 days	Thu 9/3/09	Thu 10/8/0	9 143		
144	Gentec								
		Task		Milestone	•	Exten	nai Tasks		
Project: SysTe	st Project Plac 4-8-09 f	Split		Summary		Exter	nat Milestone	: ♦	
Date: Wed 5/8	/09			Project Summary	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	Dent	line	\$	
		Progress	SysTest Project Plan 4-8					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

RAFT					DRAFT					DRAF
ID		Task Name			Duration	Start	Finish	Predece		
145	<u> </u>	VSA Satup			10 days	Thu 8/20/09	Thu 9/3/09	136		
145		Accuracy			43.5 days	Fri W21/09	Wed 10/21/89	- 1		
		Marking	Ballots		10 days	Fri 8/21/09	Thu 9/3/09			
148			Ship Machines to Hi	edware Lab	Q.5 days	Thu 9/3/09	Thu 9/3/09	145		
149			onal check	-	0.5 days	Fri 9/4/09	Fri 9/4/08	148		
150			ours of lest in cham	ber	2 days	Fri 9/4/09	Tue 9/8/09	147,146		
151			Ship Minchine to Sys		0.5 days	Tue 9/8/09	Tue 9/6/09	150		
152			onal check		0.5 days	Wed 9/9/08	Wed BAN	151		
153		•	der of Accuracy Tes	ŧ'	30 days	Wed 9/9/09	Wed 10/21/09	152		
154		Volume & St		i	20 days	Thu 10/8/09	Thu 11/5/09	144	4	
155		Dominion			60 days	The 8/13/09	Thu 11/5/09			
156		Readiness 1	Test		5 days	Thu 8/13/09	Thu 8/20/09	134		
157		Gen01			10 days	Thu 8/20/09	Thu 9/5/09	156		
158		Gen02			10 days	Thu 9/3/09	Thu 0/17/09	157		
150		GenG3			20 days	Thu 8/20/09	Thu 9/17/09	156		
160		Pd01			10 days	Thu 9/17/09	Thu 10/1/08	158		
181		PriO2			10 clays	The 9/17/09	Thu 10/1/09	159		
162	ł	Telecom		•	5 days	Thu 8/20/09	Thu 8/27/09	156		
163		Crypto			5 days	Thu 8/27/09	Thu 9/3/09	162		
164		Gensec			25 deys	Thu 9/3/09	Thu 10/8/09	183		
165		VSA Setup			10 days	Thu #/20/06	Thu 9/3/09	156		
166	Ì	Accuracy			43.5 days	Fri B/21/00	Wed 10/21/09	d l		
167	F	1	g Ballots		10 days	Fri 8/21/09	17NJ 9/3/09	1	•	
168	23		Ship Machines to H	ardware Lab	0.5 days	Thu 9/3/09	Thu 9/3/09	1165		
169	!		ional check		0.5 days	Fri 9/4/09	Fri 9/4/09	168		
170	1		hours of leat in char	wher	2 days	Fri 9/4/09	Tue 9/6/09	169,167		
171	ł	1	Ship Machine to Sy		Ç.B days	Tue 9/8/09	Tue 9/8/09	170		
172	1	1	ional check		0.5 days	Wed 9/9/09	Wed 9/9/09	171		
173	1		nder of Accuracy To	st	30 days	Wed \$/\$/09	Wed 10/21/06	172		
174	Į.	Volume & S		7	20 days	Thu 19/8/09	Thu 11/5/06	164		
174 	1	edition of a				<u> </u>	#-#-	nel Taeks		
			Task		Milestone	₹			•	
	SysTes red 5/6/0	Project Plan 4-8-09 h	Split	,,,,,,,	Summary	-	Exten	nal Milestone	Y	
DO18. 1			Progress		Project Summery	-	Dead	line	Ω	

TARAFT			•	DRAFT				
ĪĎ	0	Task Name		Dureton	Start	Finish Pre	dece	
176	<u> </u>	Voter Demonstration	Testing	32 days?	Thu 7/9/09	Mon 8/24/00 24	\neg	
176	ł	Complete Test Ph	μn	5 days	Thu 7/9/09	Thu 7/16/09	1	
177	ł	Identify Test Case	the state of the s	1 day	Thu 7/16/08	Fri 7/17/09 176	1	
178		Identify Testers		1 day	FA 7/17/09	Mon 7/20/09 . 177	'	
179		Identify Equipmen		1 day	Mon 7/20/09	Tue 7/21/09 178	1	
180	ł	Ship and Set up E		3 days	Thu 8/13/09	Tue 8/18/09 129		1
181	1	Readiness Test		3 days	Tue 6/18/09	Fri 6/21/09 180		
182	1	Demonstration (p	ull test cases from Run for Record Above)	1 day?	Fn 8/21/09	Mon 8/24/09 181		
183		Haen-Check		1 day	Thu 11/5/09	Fri 11/6/89 121	,	
184	1	Perform Hash-Ch	eck.	0.5 days	Thu 11/5/09	Thu 11/5/09		
185	Į.		eck Results To NYSBOE	0.5 days	Thu 11/6/09	Yhu 11/5/09	1	
186	1		Results Into Final Test Plan	1 day	Thu 11/5/09	Fri 11/8/09		
187	1		Vendor-Specific Test Reports (Per	20,5 days	Thu 11/5/09	Thu 12/3/08 12	•	
186	i	Develop Final To	est Reports (Per Vendor)	11.5 days	The \$1/5/09	Fri 11/20/09	ļ	
189	1	Develop (Fin	al Test Report	10 days	Thu 11/5/08	Thu 11/19/09		
190	1	Update And	Add Appendices	1.5 days	Thu 11/19/09	Fri 11/20/09 18		
191		Update Réport	And Attach PCA Documentation Review	0.25 days	Thu 11/19/09	Thu 11/19/09		
192	1	Update	And Atlanti PCA Source Code Review	0.25 days	Thu 11/19/09	Thu 11/19/09 19	1	
193		Surphi Update	ry Report And Allach NYSBOE Requirements Matrix	0.6 days	Fri 11/20/09	Fr 11/20/09 19	2 "	
194	4	Atlach	Discrepancy Reports	0.25 days	Fti 11/20/09	Fri 11/20/09 19	_	
195	1	Attach	TDP Decument List	0.25 days	Fri 11/20/09	Fri 11/20/09 19	4	
198	†	Vendor Specific	Report & Submission To NYSBOE	₿ daya	Mon 11/23/08	Thu 12/3/09	1	
197	-	NYSBOE R	eview and feedback	5 days	Mon 11/23/09	Fri 11/27/09 19:	5	
198	-	Modification	s and resubmittal	3 days	Mon 11/30/09	Wed 12/2/09 19	7	
199	-	NYSBOE A	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1 day	Thu 12/3/09	Thu 12/3/09 19	18	
200	┪ ・	Deliverable 10 - Pina	•	24 days	Thu 11/6/09	Wed 12/9/00 12		
201	-{	Certification Rep	ort & Submission To NYSBOE	15 days	Thu 11/5/09	Thu 11/26/09		
		<u> </u>						
			Task Marie Control	Milestone	<u> </u>	External T	-	
	: SysTes Ned 5/6/0	t Project Plan 4-5-09 f	Split	Summary		Externel M	•	
			Progress	Project Summer	, ———	Deadfine	❖	

Page 8

(6/3/2009) PAUL COLLINS - DOJ proposed merged pilot timeline.pdf

RAFT			DRAFT					
Ю		Task Name	Duration	Start	Pinish	Predece		
202	0	NYSBÓE Review and feedback	5 days	Thu 11/26/09	Thu 12/3/09	201		
		Modifications and residentital	3 days	Thu 12/3/09	Tue 12/6/09			
203	l	NYSBOE Approval	1 day	Tue 12/8/09	Wed 12/9/09	203		
204		Deliverable 2- Project Closure Activities	5 days	Wed 12/9/09	Wed 12/16/09	204		
205		Convene And Document Lessons Learned Sessions	5 days	Wed 12/9/09	Wed 12/16/09	1		
206			5 days	Wed 12/9/09	Wed 12/19/06			
207		Project Team Closure	5 days	Wed 12/9/09	Wed 12/18/09	• •		
208	1	Clean Test Lab	4 days	Wed 12/9/09	Tun 12/15/09	1		
209		Defiver All Herdcopy And Sollcopy Total Artifacts To Defivery Manager For Archival Destroy All Herdcopy Materials Not Required To Be	4 days	Wed 12/9/09	Tue 12/15/06	ĺ		
210		Archived						
211	1	Return All Hardware To Vendor	5 days	Wed 12/9/09	Wed 12/16/09			
212	1	Delivery Management And Artifact Archival	5 days	Wed 12/9/09	Wed 12/16/09	1		
213	ĺ	Forward 2 Encrypted Copies Of Final TDP To NYSBO	•	Wed 12/9/09	Tue 12/15/09	- 1		
214	1	Archive All Softcopy Artifacts To CD-ROM	5 daye	Wed 12/9/09	Wed 12/16/09			
215	1	Gather All Hardcopy And Softcopy Project Artifacts	5 days	Wed 12/9/09	Wed 12/15/09 Wed 12/15/09			
216	1	Archive All Hardcopy And Solicopy Project Artifacts	5 days	WES 12/9/09				
217		Final TOP Document Review Based on Final TDP	5 days	Wed 12/9/09	Wed 12/16/09			
218	4	Final TDP Document Review Based on Final TDP	5 days	Wed 12/9/09	Wed 12/16/09	52		
219	1	Phot Project/Full Machine Deployment for 2010	222 days	Fri \$/2/09	Mon 3/16/19			
220		MOTE: "Start" date and "Duration" fields are required by this software program, However, SBOE is committing to "Finish" dates for the olios.	0 days	Man 5/15/10				
221	属	Finalize Functional Test Requirements (internal to SBOE)	G days	Fn 5/8/09	1			
722	- E	Develop & Finalize Enhanced Acceptance Testing Proced		Fri 5/8/09	1	ļ		
723	T (##)	Board Vote to Interim Authorize Units in Pilot Project	0 days	Tue 5/12/09	1			
224		Tabulators Activated, Testing Scanners Provided by Wend for Use in Training Staff & Voter Outreach Initiatives by Pli	ons Odnys- lot	Fri 5/29/09	Fri 5/29/09	226		
225	Ė	County Boards Finalize Upgrade Process for All Machines	0 days	Fri 5/29/09	Fri 5/29/09			
		Task	Milestone	•	Extern	al Yanks		
Projec	t, SysTer	st Project Plan 4-8-09 h Split	Summary		Extern	al Milestone 4	•	
	Wed 5/6/	706	Project Summa		Dead	ne •	(j.	
		Progress SysTest Project Plan						

Page 9

(6/3/2009) PAUL COLLINS - DOJ proposed merged pilot timeline.pdf

RAFT			DRAFT					DRA
RD CR	0	Taşk Name	Duration	Start	Finish	Predect		
26		Articipated Date for OSC Approval of Vendor Contract	O days	Fri 5/29/09	Fri 5/29/09			
	55	Asnerdments Allowing County Boards to Purchase Mechines				[
		Prior to Certification Requisitions to: New Machines Converted to Purchase Orders	0 days	Mon 6/1/09	Mon 6/1/09			
27	圃	by OGS; Vendors Notified	0,0					
28	H	Anticipated Starl Date of Acceptance Testing for ES&S	0 days	Ŵ⊌ď 7/15/09	Wed 7/15/09			
	_	Scanners	0 days	Wed 7/15/09	Wed 7/15/09	228		
29		All Pilot County Boards Take Possession of Upgradedi/Acceptance Tasted New Machines	U Gays	THEO PYTORUS	1100 111000			
30	_	Plot County Boards Take Possession of all ES&S Scanners:	D days	Tue 7/14/09	Tue 7/14/09			
30	碅	American Yesting Completed by SBOE			Fri 7/31/09			
231		Remaining Sequals/Dominion Machines Not Being Used in	O claye	Fri 7/31/09	Fri 7/31/09			
		Pilot Opgraded by Sequela & Returned to County Boards	96 days	Mon 8/10/09	Wed 12/23/09	- 1		
32	團	SBOE Acceptance Testing of New Sequela/Dominion Machines	20,000	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		1		
233	屈	All ESSS Machines in Possesion of County Boards, Excluding	0 days	Mon 8/31/09	Mon 8/31/09			
233	22	NYC	1		Wed 12/23/09			
234	房	Complete Acceptance Testing of New Sequoia/Dominion	98 days	Mon 6/10/09	YMB0 12/23/09			
	_	Machines SBOE Meets and Votes on Machine Certification	0 days	Tue 12/15/09	Tue 12/15/09			
235	77		O chayes	Tue 12/15/08	Tun 12/15/09			
236	屋	Finalize Process for Upgrading All Units Purchased and Delivered with Certified Version Software (and Hardware, if	U Caye	106 123 14500	1.2 .2 .2 .			
	ĺ	Necessaria)						
237	逦	Required Upgrades for Both Machines Provided to County	D days	Thu 12/31/09	Thu 12/31/09	'		
	1	Boards	53 days	Thu 12/31/09	Mon 3/15/10			
238	重	All Machines Upgraded by County Boards and Ready for Use in 2010 Fell Elections - No Later Than 3/15/10	33 4494	,,,,	*****			
							·····	
		Tesk	Mestone	*		nal Tasks		
²naje¢	t SysTe	st Project Plan 4-8-09 F Soils	Milestone Summary	*		nai Tasks nai Mileston	. •	
'rojeci late: \	t SysTe Wed 5/5	st Project Plan 4-8-09 F Soils		.		nai Milestoni	• ♦	